

TEXAS A&M GRILIFE EXTENSION

2016 Texas Canola Variety Trial Results



variety testing.tamu.edu



2016 Texas Uniform Canola Variety Trials

http://varietytesting.tamu.edu/oilseed

Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service

Clark Neely, Calvin Trostle, Jourdan Bell, Josh McGinty, Daniel Hathcoat, Emi Kimura, and Shane McLellan

Texas A&M AgriLife Research

Paul DeLaune

Kansas State University

Mike Stamm, Scott Dooley



Table of Contents

Contact Information	.1
Introduction	.2
Interpreting the Data	.3
Texas Map of Locations	.4
2016 Location Summaries and Agronomic Data	.5
Winter Canola Variety Characteristics	.6
Spring Canola Variety Characteristics	.7
2016 Bushland Winter Canola Results	.8
2016 College Station Winter Canola Results	.8
2016 South Texas Spring Canola Variety Regional Summary	.9
2016 College Station Spring Canola Variety Results	.9
2016 Corpus Christi Spring Canola Variety Results1	0
Acknowledgements1	1



Contact Information

Dr. Clark Neely, Small Grains and Oilseed Extension Specialist, College Station, TX Email: <u>cbneely@tamu.edu</u> | Phone: 979-862-1412

Dr. Paul DeLaune, Soil Scientist, Vernon, TX Email: <u>pbdelaune@ag.tamu.edu</u> | Phone: 940-552-9941

Dr. Josh McGinty, Regional Extension Agronomist, Corpus Christi, TX Email: <u>Joshua.mcginty@ag.tamu.edu</u> | Phone: 361-265-9203

Dr. Calvin Trostle, Regional Extension Agronomist, Lubbock, TX Email: <u>ctrostle@ag.tamu.edu</u> | Phone: 806-746-6101

Dr. Jourdan Bell, Regional Extension Agronomist, Amarillo, TX Email: <u>Jourdan.Bell@ag.tamu.edu</u> | Phone: 806-677-5663

Dr. Emi Kimura, Regional Extension Agronomist, Vernon, TX Email: <u>emi.kimura@ag.tamu.edu</u> | Phone: 940-552-9941

Additional Canola Resources

National Winter Canola Variety Trials http://www.agronomy.k-state.edu/services/crop-performance-tests/canola-and-cotton.html

Okanola (Oklahoma State University Canola Extension) <u>http://canola.okstate.edu/</u>

Great Plains Canola Production Handbook http://varietytesting.tamu.edu/oilseed/files/Production%20Practices/Great%20Plains%20Canola %20Production%20Handbook.pdf (electronic) http://www.bookstore.ksre.ksu.edu/Category.aspx?id=2 (order hard copy)

Other Texas A&M AgriLife Canola Agronomic Information http://varietytesting.tamu.edu/oilseed



Introduction

The word "canola" is derived from its origins in Canada and the Latin word for oil (oleum). Canola is a cool-season broadleaf plant in the mustard family. Its cousins include turnips and rapeseed, but canola has much lower erucic acid and glucosinolate content which makes its oil less bitter than other mustard plants as well as having a higher digestibility for humans and other animals. Canola oil is utilized in numerous food products as well as cooking because it has less saturated fat than other plant and animal derived cooking oils. In the mid 1990's canola breeders in Canada released the first herbicide tolerant varieties allowing this crop to be a great rotational crop in fields that had consistent weed problems. Most of the canola acres today utilize glyphosate or other types of herbicide tolerance. Like wheat, there are spring and winter types available. North of Nebraska, spring canola is grown as a short season summer crop, but throughout the southern Great Plains (Oklahoma, Texas, etc.) winter canola can be grown in the winter months as a rotational replacement for small grains. Due to the taproot system of canola, this crop is capable of chasing moisture and nutrients deeper in the soil profile than many small grain crops. In addition, it allows for alternative herbicides to be applied aiding in control of grassy winter weeds. While the life cycle of canola is similar to wheat in terms of timing (plant in fall and harvest in spring), the ideal planting window for canola is generally narrower than it is for wheat due to elevated winterkill risks with young plants before the 4-leaf stage.

Canola in Texas is still a very new crop to the state. Its acreage has been concentrated along the Oklahoma border for many years. Transportation costs to the nearest crushing facility in Oklahoma City had been a primary reason why acres were not expanding very far south. With the closing of this plant and the recent update to the ADM crushing facility near Lubbock to allow it to accept canola seed for crushing, greater interest has been added further south in the state. As with any new crop, there are always challenges to overcome. The challenges with canola are primarily due to its small seed size (1/8" diameter), so seedbed preparation is crucial as well as sealing cracks and holes in both harvesting and transportation equipment. Pod shattering at harvest time has also been a concern for many producers throughout the southern Great Plains; therefore, harvest timing is critical and in many cases the use of harvest aids or swathing is necessary.

The data presented in the following pages is a collaborative effort among several Texas A&M AgriLife personnel and KSU faculty and staff. We appreciate the cooperation from numerous Texas A&M AgriLife County Extension Agents, producers, and private industry groups that contribute time, property, and seed to conduct these field trials. The purpose of this publication is to provide unbiased yield and phenotypic data for canola producers across the state. Using this information, Texas canola producers can make an educated decision concerning the most appropriate varieties for their geographic region.



Interpreting the Data

Adjusted yield (10% moisture), test weight and several other harvest measurements at each location have been analyzed using appropriate statistical procedures. The statistical analysis provides the mean, CV, and LSD values. It is important to note these statistical values to prevent misinterpretation of any replicated data.

The mean is another term for the average. Therefore, a mean yield is the average of all plots within a trial. Individual variety yields can be compared to the mean yield to determine how these varieties performed within the trial (i.e. were they above or below average?). This average can also be used as an indication of the environment for that location. A low mean yield can indicate poor growing conditions were experienced in that season; likewise, a high yield average can indicate favorable growing conditions.

The CV (Coefficient of Variation) value, expressed as a percentage, indicates the level of unexplained variability present within the trial. A high CV value indicates a lot of variability existed within the trial not related to normal variations that might be expected between the varieties in the test. This variability may be the result of non-uniform stands, non-uniform insect or disease pressure, variability in harvesting, or other issues. CV values in excess of 20% signify that there were problems in the trial, leading the reader to question the validity of the data as a true representation of varietal performance.

The LSD (Least Significant Difference) value is a numeric range to help the reader determine if the varieties performed differently from one another within the trial. If the LSD value is 50 lb/ac in a trial in which Variety A yielded 1500 lb/a and Variety B yielded 1440 lb/ac, then Variety A is said to be significantly better. In that same trial with an LSD value of 50 lb/ac at a 0.05 (5%) significance level, the statistical inference one could say is that Variety A would yield better than Variety B in 19 out of 20 trials conducted in which there was at least a 50 pound difference in yield. In this hypothetical comparison, you might have a 20th trial with a 50 lb/ac difference in which there is not truly a statistical difference between Variety A and B, but random chance caused the 50 pound difference.



2016 Location Summaries and Agronomic Data

Location ¹	Cooperator	Cooperator Issues Planted		Harvested	Fertility	Pesticides
Bushland	Texas A&M AgriLife James Bush Research Farm	Cabbage Leaf Aphids	Fall 1: 9/17/15 Fall 2: 10/2/15	all 1: 9/17/15 all 2: 10/2/15 6/21/16		Pre-plant Roundup (32 oz/a)
Chillicothe	Texas A&M AgriLife Research Farm	Hail Damage on May 13, 2016	9/23/15	ABANDONED	30 #N/A	Pre-emergent Roundup (32 oz/a) Select (6 oz/a)
College Station	Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Farm	Excessive rainfall throughout winter and spring; Volunteer wheat; Mild winter – Vernalization issues	10/21/15 (Winter) 11/12/15 (Spring)	10/21/15 (Winter) ABANDONED (Winter) 11/12/15 (Spring) 4/26/16 (Spring)		Treflan (1.5 pt/a) Stinger (0.3 pt/a) Transform (0.75 oz/a)
Corpus Christi	orpus hristi Texas A&M AgriLife Research Farm		12/8/16	5/2/16	110 #N/A	None
Floydada	Ian McIntosh Storm d		9/25/2015	6/10/2016	25 #N/A	None
McGregor	Jason Niemeier	Accidental glyphosate overspray	10/21/15	ABANDONED	None	None
Thrall	Stiles Farm Foundation	Volunteer wheat & ryegrass; Excessive rainfall throughout winter and spring; Mild winter – Vernalization issues	10/20/15	ABANDONED	70 #N/A 15 #S/A	Treflan (1.5 pt/a) Poast (2 pt/a)

¹Corpus Christi, McGregor, and Thrall were the only locations where irrigation was not available.

Season Summary:

The 2015-2016 canola-growing season started off with favorable moisture conditions in the fall throughout the state. Many growers were able to get planted in a timely fashion. However; mild winter temperatures throughout the state caused issues with winter canola allowing for dense vegetation in the Texas Panhandle (which promoted higher aphid populations) and limited yield potentials in Central Texas due to vernalization issues. The winter in the Texas Rolling Plains was drier than normal, but adequate rainfall started in mid-March. Excessive spring storms caused further problems for locations throughout the state. A late hailstorm in the Rolling Plains shattered some fields in the region, while prolonged rainy conditions caused muddy fields and delayed harvest with some shattering in areas throughout Central Texas.



2016 Texas Canola Variety Trial Locations





Variety	Developer/ Marketer	Type [†]	Traits [‡]	Released	Maturity [§]
HyCLASS 115W	Croplan by Winfield	OP	RR/SURT	2008	EM
HyCLASS 125W	Croplan by Winfield	OP	RR/SURT	2010	М
HyCLASS 220W	Croplan by Winfield	OP	RR	2014	М
Einstein	DL Seeds Inc.	Hybrid			
Popular	DL Seeds Inc.	Hybrid			E
Raffiness	DL Seeds Inc.	Hybrid			Μ
Reflex CL	DL Seeds Inc.	Hybrid	CL		
Thure	DL Seeds Inc.	Hybrid			
46W94	DuPont Pioneer	Hybrid	RR	2011	Μ
Wichita	Kansas State University	OP		1999	Μ
Hekip	Momont, France	Hybrid		2014	E
Helix	Momont, France	Hybrid			F
Kadore	Momont, France	OP			Μ
Quartz	Momont, France	OP			Μ
DK Imiron CL	Monsanto / DeKalb	Hybrid	CL		F
DK Imistar CL	Monsanto / DeKalb	Hybrid	CL		
DK Sensei	Monsanto / DeKalb	Hybrid	SD		
DK Severnyl	Monsanto / DeKalb	Hybrid	SD		
DKW45-25	Monsanto / DeKalb	OP	RR/SURT	2013	EM
DKW46-15	Monsanto / DeKalb	OP	RR/SURT	2008	EM
DKW47-15	Monsanto / DeKalb	OP	RR/SURT	2008	Μ
Edimax CL	Rubisco Seeds	Hybrid	CL	2012	Μ
Hornet	Rubisco Seeds	Hybrid		2008	Μ
Inspiration	Rubisco Seeds	Hybrid		2014	Μ
Mercedes	Rubisco Seeds	Hybrid		2014	Μ
Star 915W	Star Specialty Seed Inc.	OP	RR/SURT	2014	Μ
Virginia	Virginia State University	OP		2003	М
VSX-3	Virginia State University	OP			М

Winter Canola Variety Characteristics

[†]OP: Open Pollinated [‡]CL: Clearfield; RR: Roundup Ready; SD: semi-dwarf; SU & SURT: sulfonylurea carryover tolerant [§]Maturity rated at early (E), Medium (M), and Full (F).



Variety	Developer/ Marketer	Type [†]	Traits [‡]	Released	Maturity§
InVigor 5440	Bayer	Hybrid	LL		F
InVigor L130	Bayer	Hybrid	LL		E
InVigor L140P	Bayer	Hybrid	LL/ST	2014	Μ
InVigor L241C	Bayer	Hybrid	LL	2016	Μ
InVigor L252	Bayer	Hybrid	LL		M-F
5525 CL	Caldbeck Consulting	Hybrid	CL	2016	E
CC67012	Caldbeck Consulting				
CC67017	Caldbeck Consulting				
CC67027	Caldbeck Consulting				
NCC101S	Caldbeck Consulting				
V12-1	Cargill	Hybrid	RR		М
V12-3	Cargill	Hybrid	RR		Μ
V22-1	Cargill	Hybrid	RR/HO	2016	Μ
HyCLASS 930	Croplan by Winfield	Hybrid	RR		E
HyCLASS 955	Croplan by Winfield	Hybrid	RR		Μ
HyCLASS 970	Croplan by Winfield	Hybrid	RR		F
H1612	DL Seeds				
H1613	DL Seeds				
H1617	DL Seeds				
NHC1258C	NuSeed				

Spring Canola Variety Characteristics

[†]OP: Open Pollinated

[‡]CL: Clearfield; HO: high oleic oil; LL: Liberty Link; RR: Roundup Ready; SD: semi-dwarf; ST: shatter tolerant; SU & SURT: sulfonylurea carryover tolerant

[§]Maturity rated at early (E), Medium (M), and Full (F).

TEXAS A&M GRILIFE EXTENSION

Yield (lb/a) Winter Survival¹ Planting Date Test Wt Height Rank Variety Sep 17 Oct 2 (bu/a) (0-5 rating) (inches) Source Average Quartz Momont 2265 2615 1916 55 2.0 43 1 2 Edimax CL Rubisco Seeds 2039 2288 1791 49 1.6 48 3 Mercedes Rubisco Seeds 2011 1706 2316 51 2.3 45 Einstein DL Seeds 1817 1578 2056 1.6 45 4 49 5 Inspiration Rubisco Seeds 1708 1559 1857 51 1.5 47 6 Popular **DL** Seeds 1693 1698 1687 46 2.3 45 7 DKW45-25 Monsanto/DeKalb 1540 1652 1428 54 2.3 47 8 Wichita KSU 1506 1890 1123 56 0.8 49 Kadore Momont 9 1484 1667 1362 47 1.6 44 10 Hornet Rubisco Seeds 1419 1216 1622 48 2.9 47 46W94 **DuPont Pioneer** 2.3 47 11 1358 1732 983 49 12 HyCLASS 115W Croplan/Winfield 1242 1619 865 37 2.5 48 13 Star 915 Star Specialty Seed 1163 1361 966 43 2.3 44 14 HyCLASS 125W Croplan/Winfield 998 995 1001 49 1.5 46 15 DKW46-15 Monsanto/DeKalb 544 345 676 50 2.3 47 LSD 370 589 488 10.4 NS 4.5 с٧ 20.6 20.2 20.9 18.4 41.4 8.7 1622 Mean 1531 1443 49.0 2.4 45.9

2016 Winter Canola Variety Trial: Bushland, TX

¹Survival scores taken from 2nd planting date. Rating (0=poor, 5=excellent) based on leaf number, color, and root turgor.

2016 Winter Canola Variety Trial: College Station, TX

			Yield	Test Wt	Bolting
Rank	Variety	Source	lb/a ¹	lb/bu	%
1	46W94	DuPont Pioneer			95
2	Hekip	Momont			95
3	Virginia	Virginia Tech			94
4	Einstein	DL Seeds			92
5	VSX-3	Virginia Tech			89
6	Popular	DL Seeds			82
7	Wichita	KSU			78
8	DKW46-15	Monsanto/DeKalb			68
9	DL14001R	DL Seeds			65
10	Quartz	Momont			43
11	HyCLASS220W	Cropland/Winfield			42
12	Thure	DL Seeds			37
13	Edimax CL	Rubsico Seeds			37
14	DK Sensei	Monsanto/DeKalb			32
15	DKW47-15	Monsanto/DeKalb			30
16	Mercedes	Rubsico Seeds			27
17	DK Imistar CL	Monsanto/DeKalb			25
18	Reflex CL	DL Seeds			25
19	DK Imiron CL	Monsanto/DeKalb			20
20	DK Severnyl	Monsanto/DeKalb			18
21	Hornet	Rubsico Seeds			18
22	Inspiration	Rubsico Seeds			17
23	Helix	Momont			12
24	15.UI.WC.1	Univ. of Idaho			10
25	Kadore	Momont			5
	LSD				25.9
	CV				34.6
	Mean				46.0

¹No yield due to delayed maturity from mild winter and vernalization issues.

TEXAS A&M GRILIFE EXTENSION

2016 Spring Canola Variety Trial: South Texas Regional Summary

				Yield (Ib/a)		Test Wt (Ib/bu)
Rank	Variety	Source	Average	Corpus Christi	College Station	Average
1	NHC1258C	NuSeed	1855	2097	1493	48.8
2	InVigor L241C	Bayer	1717	1425	2010	46.2
3	HyCLASS 970	Croplan	1623	1723	1523	48.2
4	InVigor 5440	Bayer	1542	1332	1751	48.5
5	InVigor L130	Bayer	1538	1505	1571	48.7
6	InVigor L252	Bayer	1537	1325	1748	45.9
7	HyCLASS 955	Croplan	1481	1714	1171	49.0
8	InVigor L140P	Bayer	1470	1433	1506	45.7
9	HyCLASS 930	Croplan	1437	1881	992	45.8
10	V12-3	Cargill	1427	1503	1351	43.2
11	V22-1	Cargill	1345	1312	1388	45.3
12	V12-1	Cargill	1244	1356	1131	45.0
13	CC67017	Caldbeck Consulting	1194	1360	945	45.7
14	CC67012	Caldbeck Consulting	1087	1333	717	46.3
15	CC67027	Caldbeck Consulting	963	1282	751	46.9
16	NCC101S	Caldbeck Consulting		1678		
17	H1613	DL Seeds		1464		
18	H1617	DL Seeds		1311		
19	5525 CL	Caldbeck Consulting		1140		
20	H1612	DL Seeds		778		
	LSD		282.8	381.1	449.8	3.6
	CV		16.7	15.9	18.8	6.5
	Mean		1437.3	1452.4	1357	46.6

2016 Spring Canola Variety Trial: College Station, TX

			Yield	(lb/a)	Test Wt	Shatter	GreenPods	Lodging	Bloom
Rank ¹	Variety	Source	2-Year [§]	2016	lb/bu	%	%	0-10	Julian Days
1	InVigor 5440	Bayer	1524	1751	49	2	5	0	57
2	InVigor L252	Bayer	1500	1748	45	5	3	0	57
3	InVigor L130	Bayer	1319	1571	50	3	5	0	57
4	InVigor L140P	Bayer	1317	1506	45	0	18	0	59
5	V12-1	Cargill	1057	1131	45	0	33	0	67
6	HyCLASS 955	Croplan	896	1171	49	7	0	0	36
7	HyCLASS 930	Croplan	825	992	45	5	0	2	36
8	InVigor L241C	Bayer		2010	47	2	5	0	41
9	HyCLASS 970	Croplan		1523	50	2	10	0	48
10	NHC1258C	NuSeed		1493	50	5	0	7	36
11	V22-1	Cargill		1388	45	3	20	0	61
12	V12-3	Cargill		1351	41	2	20	0	61
13	CC67017	Caldbeck Consulting		945	48	15	2	0	36
14	CC67027	Caldbeck Consulting		751	47	5	3	0	49
15	CC67012	Caldbeck Consulting		717	47	15	2	0	48
	LSD		290.1	449.8	7.1	5.9	13.0	5.1	4.8
	CV		18.1	18.8	8.9	76.1	92.2	543.8	5.7
	Mean		1205.3	1356.9	46.8	4.7	8.4	0.6	49.9

¹Rank based on 2-year average then 2016 average.

§Data from 2015 and 2016

* Ratings use 0-10 scale where 10 equals excellent stand, excellent vigor, and high lodging



2016 Spring Canola Variety Trial: Corpus Christi, TX

			Yield	Yield	Test Wt
Rank	Variety	Source	lb/a	bu/a	lb/bu
1	NHC1258C	NuSeed	2097	44	48
2	HyCLASS 930	Croplan	1881	40	47
3	HyCLASS 970	Croplan	1723	37	47
4	HyCLASS 955	Croplan	1714	35	49
5	NCC101S	Caldbeck Consulting	1678	35	48
6	InVigor L130	Bayer	1505	32	47
7	V12-3	Cargill	1503	33	46
8	H1613	DL Seeds	1464	30	48
9	InVigor L140P	Bayer	1433	31	46
10	InVigor L241C	Bayer	1425	31	46
11	CC67017	Caldbeck Consulting	1360	30	45
12	V12-1	Cargill	1356	30	45
13	CC67012	Caldbeck Consulting	1333	29	45
14	InVigor 5440	Bayer	1332	28	48
15	InVigor L252	Bayer	1325	28	47
16	V22-1	Cargill	1312	29	45
17	H1617	DL Seeds	1311	27	49
18	CC67027	Caldbeck Consulting	1282	27	47
19	5525 CL	Caldbeck Consulting	1140	26	45
20	H1612	DL Seeds	778	18	44
	LSD		381.1	8.2	2.4
	CV		15.9	15.9	2.9
	Mean		1452.4	31.1	46.5



Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the contributing seed companies for providing seed for these trials. Also, we would like to express appreciation to the cooperating growers who provided land to conduct the trials and to Mike Stamm and Scott Dooley for securing and preparing seed for trials. Partial funding was provided by USDA-NIFA.



Educational programs of Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service and the Texas A&M University System Agriculture Program are open to all people without regard to race, color, sex, disability, religion, age, or national origin. November 2015.