

Contribution of Maize Hybrid Genetics and Water Stress in the Mitigation of Pre-Harvest Losses attributed to Lepidopteran Feeding

INTRODUCTION



One of the most common problems facing southern U.S. corn farmers is the injury brought on by ear feeding lepidopteran pests [1]. The fall armyworm and corn earworm are residents of the region [2, 3]. Ear injury by these insects can reduce yield although the relationship is variable across hybrids [4], and possibly water stress conditions. Corn growers have the option to manage insect injury on corn with the use of genetically modified seed containing Bt transgenes, however there is added cost to the seed and the interaction with water stress is not known. This poster compares the effects of hybrid background and their commercial Bt transgenes in their ability to reduce pre-harvest losses due to insect feeding in water stress and non-stressed conditions.

BACILLUS THURINGIENSIS (Bt) transgenes



Bacillus thuringiensis (or Bt) transgenes express Bt toxins. When ingested by a target Lepidoptera species, the toxin destroys the digestive tract of the caterpillar [6]. Since the release of transgenic *Bt* maize in 1996, many different types of Bt transgenes have been developed. Bt corn has become increasingly popular over the last 15 years [8]. There is a wide range of Lepidoptera control in corn commercially available Bt transgenes [5].

TARGET PESTS







The fall armyworm is native to southern regions of the United States. It overwinters in the southern-most areas [6].

OBJECTIVES

Objective 1: Describe ear quality and yield, and insect-derived ear injury under two water stress conditions using isogenic hybrids with and without Bt transgenes. Five families of hybrids were used.

Objective 2: Evaluate the relative contribution to yield and insect ear injury of the *Bt* transgenes in common among the hybrid families and across the two water stress conditions.

METHODOLOGY

A split plot design of 2 water stress conditions (main plot) and 13 hybrids (split plot) were replicated 4 times in Corpus Christi, 2017. Plots were 6 rows, 1 meter by 6 meters.

Ear injury measurements: total area of insect feeding injury and the deepest point of insect injury measured from the tip of the ear. Ear quality measurements were ear circumference and length, and

harvest weight adjusted for moisture % to calculate bushels/acre. Analyses: For objective one, visuals and descriptive statistics were

used to compare behavior of the measurements across different hybrid families and Bt transgenes. For objective two, an analysis of variance was performed using a 2 factor, fixed-model split-plot design. Given similarity of injury by Bt transgenes across hybrid families, Student's LSD mean separations were used for ear injury and yield comparison across Bt transgenes separately for the two water stress conditions.



Bt Transgene **/**Abbreviation Guide

Bt Transgene Trade Name	Transgene Abbreviation	Lepidopteran Protection	Lepidopteran targete <i>Bt</i> Proteins
No <i>Bt</i> Transgene	RR/RR2	Νο	None
Genuity [®] DroughtGard™	DG	Νο	None
Genuity [®] Smart Stax™	SS	Yes	Cry1A.105, Cry1Fa2, Cry
Genuity [®] VT Double Pro™	VT2P	Yes	Cry1A.105, Cry2Ab2
Genuity [®] VT Triple Pro™	VT3P	Yes	Cry1A.105, Cry2Ab2
YieldGard™ + Herculex™	YHR	Yes	Cry1Ab, Cry1Fa2
Agrisure [®] Viptera 3111™	V	Yes	Vip3Aa20

Lepidopteran protection based upon past work [4, 5]

Luke Pruter¹, Michael Brewer¹, Thomas Isakeit², Seth Murray³

1 Texas A&M AgriLife Research, Department of Entomology, Corpus Christi, TX 2 Texas A&M AgriLife Extension, Department of Plant Pathology, College Station, TX 3 Texas A&M University, Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, College Station, TX



Heliocoverpa zea was the predominate species detected, outnumbering Spodoptera frugiperda six to one, and was equally represented across hybrids. Moderate ear feeding pressure was observed: average cm² of ear injury for non-Bt hybrids was 8.77 cm², compared with >12 cm^2 of ear injury seen in past years.

	OE	BJEC	TIVE	2: INSE	CT DA		AGE RESU	JLTS (area c	m²)	
WATER STRESSED							Ν	ION-WATER S	TRESS	
Bt Transgene	Stuo Mean	dent's Sepa	LSD ration	Least Sq. Mean	Std. Error		Bt Transgene	Student's LSD Mean Separation	Least Sq. Mean	Std. Error
RR	Α			8.88	1.19		RR	Α	4.49	0.33
VT2P	Α	В		7.51	1.63		VT3P	A B	3.08	0.66
DGVT2P	Α	В	С	5.32	2.30	asing	SS	В	3.03	0.47
VT3P	Α	В	С	4.25	2.30	Icre	VT2P	В	2.82	0.47
SS		В	С	4.77	1.63	ge Ir	YHR	В	2.34	0.66
YHR		В	С	3.71	2.30	Imag	DGVT2P	В	2.06	0.66
V			С	0.10	2.30	D	V	С	0.17	0.66
VYHR			С	0.01	2.30		VYHR	С	0.00	0.66

Bt transgene was a significant factor relating to the amount of ear injury caused by insect feeding (P<0.001). The pattern of insect damage in both water stressed and non-water stressed treatments was similar (there was no *Bt* by water stress interaction). Also, water stress itself was not found to be a significant factor related to insect injury (P=0.434). This indicates that a Bt transgene will be equally effective regardless of the soil moisture profile. Looking at the transgene effect across the hybrids, hybrids containing no Bt transgenes (RR) have significantly more insect ear injury, than hybrids with Bt transgenes (P=0.014). Also, the hybrids containing the Agrisure[®] Viptera[™] Bt transgene (VYHR and V) had 30 fold less injury than its closest comparator (YHR [water stressed] and DGVT2P (non-water stressed).

	ODJECTIVE 2. TIELD RESOLTS (DUSITERS/ acte)									
WATER STRESSED							N	ION-WATER S	TRESS	
sgene	Stuo Mean	dent's L Separa	SD ition	Least Sq. Mean	Std. Error		<i>Bt</i> Transgene	Student's LSD Mean Separation	Least Sq. Mean	Std. Error
Г2Р	Α			95.23	5.60	gu	VT3P	Α	108.14	8.99
2 P	Α			90.46	3.96	easiı	VT2P	Α	105.02	6.36
BP	Α	В		89.43	5.60	Incr	DGVT2P	Α	100.32	8.99
	Α	В		85.07	3.96	cre)	SS	Α	90.46	6.36
IR	Α	В		83.04	5.60	u./a	VYHR	Α	89.31	8.99
R	Α	В		81.60	5.60	ıq) p	V	Α	86.83	8.99
		В		75.48	5.60	Yiel	YHR	A B	83.98	8.99
R			C	50.48	2.80		RR	В	65.28	4.50

WATER STRESSED							NON-WATER STRESS			
<i>Bt</i> Transgene	Stu Mear	dent's L Separa	SD tion	Least Sq. Mean	Std. Error		<i>Bt</i> Transgene	Student's LSD Mean Separation	Least Sq. Mean	Std. Error
DGVT2P	Α			95.23	5.60	l Su	VT3P	А	108.14	8.99
VT2P	Α			90.46	3.96	easii	VT2P	Α	105.02	6.36
VT3P	Α	В		89.43	5.60	Incr	DGVT2P	Α	100.32	8.99
SS	Α	В		85.07	3.96	cre)	SS	Α	90.46	6.36
VYHR	Α	В		83.04	5.60	1./a	VYHR	Α	89.31	8.99
YHR	Α	В		81.60	5.60	ן pו	V	Α	86.83	8.99
V		В		75.48	5.60	Yiel	YHR	A B	83.98	8.99
RR			С	50.48	2.80		RR	В	65.28	4.50

As with the ear injury measure, there was no significant interaction between *Bt* transgene and water stress. In contrast to ear injury, yield differences between water stressed and nonwater stressed plots were very apparent (P<0.001). Bt transgene status also affected yield, but differences across hybrids were less apparent than seen when analyzing the ear injury data.

Soil moisture levels have a significant effect on yield, but have little effect on the effectiveness of a *Bt* transgene to reduce ear injury caused by lepidopteran species. A Bt transgene hybrid will likely not make up for a poor hybrid selection, or poor performance in water stress conditions. A farmer would be prudent to select a high yielding hybrid before selecting a Bt transgene package, especially if water stress conditions are anticipated.

The	hybric	ls of	this	S
dete	rmine	the e	effect	t

	NI, X., Wilson, J. P., Buntin, G.
•]	ear-feeding insect damage in pr
[2]	Vickery, R. A. (1929). Studies of
31	Smith, M. S., & Riley, T. J. (199
J	damage on aflatoxin production
1۱	Brewer, M., Odvody, G., Ander
4]	Effects on Maize Leaf and Ear
51	Buntin, G., Flanders, K., & Lyn
J	maize. Journal Of Economic En
61	Sousa, F. F., Mendes, S. M., San
ΟJ	Populations Exposed to Low-Do
71	Harding, J. (1976). Heliothis sp
/]	666-668.
Q 1	Wechsler, S. J. (Ed.). (2016, Nov
o	https://www.ers.usda.gov



TEXAS A&M RESEARCH

OBJECTIVE 1: INSECT ABUNDANCE

OBJECTIVE 2. VIELD DESULTS (hushals /acro)

CONCLUSIONS

FUTURE DIRECTION

study are being tested for aflatoxin and fumonisin contamination to that water stress and Bt transgenes have on pathogen accumulation.

REFERENCES

D., Guo, B., Krakowsky, M. D., Lee, R. D., & Schmelz, E. A. (2011). Spatial patterns of aflatoxin levels in relation to e-harvest maize. *Toxins*, 3(7), 920-931.

n the fall army worm in the Gulf Coast district of Texas. US Government Printing Office.

2). Direct and interactive effects of planting date, irrigation, and maize earworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) n in pre-harvest field maize. Journal of economic entomology, 85(3), 998-1006.

son, D., & Remmers, J. (n.d). A Comparison of *Bt* Transgene, Hybrid Background, Water Stress, and Insect Stress Injury and Subsequent Yield. *Environmental Entomology*,43(3), 828-839.

ch, R. (2004). Assessment of experimental Bt events against fall armyworm and maize earworm in field

tomology, (2) tos-Amaya, O. F., Araújo, O. G., Oliveira, E. E., & Pereira, E. G. (2016). Life-History Traits of Spodoptera frugiperda

ose Bt Maize. *Plos ONE*, 11(5), 1-18. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156608 p.: seasonal occurrence, hosts and host importance in the Lower Rio Grande Valley. Environmental Entomology, (4),

vember 2). Recent Trends in GE Adoption. Retrieved October 15, 2016, from