ARTICLE IN PRESS

Fuel xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Fuel

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fuel

Predicting the heating value of solid manure with visible and near-infrared spectroscopy

Sharon L.M. Preece^a, Brent W. Auvermann^{a,b,*}, James C. MacDonald^a, Cristine L.S. Morgan^c

^a Texas A&M AgriLife Research, 6500 Amarillo Boulevard West, Amarillo, TX 79106, USA

^b Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service, 6500 Amarillo Boulevard West, Amarillo, TX 79106, USA

^c Texas A&M University, Department of Soil and Crop Sciences, 370 Olsen Boulevard, 2474 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843, USA

HIGHLIGHTS

▶ Spectral models predicted feedlot manure HHV within 1.7% with excellent reliability.

- ▶ Estimated N and S corrections to HHV reduced spectral prediction by 0.1%.
- ▶ VisNIR-DRS models reliably predicted HHV_{daf} based on proximate data within 1.8%.

▶ Manure-specific HHV_{daf} equations from ultimate data may improve spectral prediction.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 June 2012 Received in revised form 1 October 2012 Accepted 3 October 2012 Available online 25 October 2012

Keywords: Higher heating value Isoperibol bomb calorimetry Visible near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectroscopy Distillers grains Manure

ABSTRACT

Visible and near-infrared spectral data were used to predict the higher heating value (HHV) and dry, ashfree HHV (HHV_{daf}) of solid manure samples collected from cattle fed diets containing wet distillers grains plus solubles (WDGS) in 0%, 15%, 30%, 45%, and 60% dry matter concentrations. The HHV was determined by isoperibol bomb calorimetry and the HHV_{daf} was calculated from an equation based on the HHV and proximate analysis. Spectral models were developed in "The Unscrambler" software. The spectral models based on all treatments with random samples withheld for validation predicted the HHV with excellent reliability within 1.7%; RMSD = 60.19 cal g⁻¹ (108 Btu lb⁻¹), RPD = 2.29 (excellent), and bias = -15.29 cal g⁻¹ (28 Btu lb⁻¹), using five PLS factors and identifying 129 important wavebands. Accounting for estimated N and S content reduced the predictive accuracy of the spectral models by 0.1% with an RPD = 2.28 (excellent). Spectral models based on all treatments with random samples withheld for validation predicted the HHV_{daf} with acceptable reliability within 2.0% with an RMSD = 96.17 cal g⁻¹ (173 Btu lb⁻¹), RPD = 1.17 (acceptable), and bias = -19.83 cal g⁻¹ (-37 Btu lb⁻¹), using five PLS (partial least squares) factors and identifying 29 important wavebands. Spectral models reliably predicted the HHV of feedlot manure with accuracy well under the 5% error margin tolerated in practical applications such as feedlot manure gasification.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the context of fuel energy, manure can be partitioned into combustible and noncombustible fractions. The noncombustible fraction contains ash and moisture which are known as the *proximate data* [1]. Ash and moisture are the primary determinants of

the higher heating value (HHV) of manure, which is a measure of the gross chemical potential energy per unit of mass (cal g⁻¹, Btu lb⁻¹, or kJ g⁻¹). In previous work, we successfully predicted ash and moisture in unprocessed samples of solid cattle manure using VisNIR-DRS within 4% (db) and 3% (wb) by weight, respectively [2,3], but we do not know if VisNIR-DRS can successfully predict the combustible fraction, known as the HHV_{daf}.

The combustible fraction of manure is volatile matter comprised of complex carbohydrates, proteins, trace organic compounds, and fats, containing primarily C, H, O, N, and S. These five elements are known as *ultimate data* and are determined by *ultimate analysis* [1]. When wet distillers grains with solubles (WDGS) is incorporated in beef cattle diets, the manure-S concentration [4–6] increases linearly with WDGS inclusion rate (% DM)





Abbreviations: db, dry basis; DM, dietary dry matter; HHV, higher heating value; HHV_{dafr} , dry, ash-free higher heating value; PLS, partial least squares; RMSD, root mean squared deviation; RPD, ratio of standard error of prediction to the root mean squared deviation; VisNIR-DRS, visible, near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectroscopy; wb, wet basis; WDGS, wet distillers grains with solubles.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Texas A&M AgriLife Research, 6500 Amarillo Boulevard West, Amarillo, TX 79106, USA. Tel.: +1 806 677 5600; fax: +1 806 677 5644. *E-mail address:* bauverma@ag.tamu.edu (B.W. Auvermann).

^{0016-2361/\$ -} see front matter @ 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2012.10.006

S.L.M. Preece et al. / Fuel xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

and may affect the HHV_{daf} of the manure. Similarly, WDGS is often higher in N than the feedstuffs it displaces in beef cattle diets [7– 10]. Excess dietary N and S are excreted by cattle [11–14]. The composition of WDGS varies with the grain source, processing method, neutral detergent fiber, and ratio of wet grains to solubles [15,16]. We have shown that the inclusion of WDGS in varying proportions in cattle rations had little effect on the prediction by Vis-NIR-DRS of the noncombustible fraction of manure [6], but we do not know if the inclusion of WDGS in cattle diets affects the ability of VisNIR-DRS to predict the HHV and HHV_{daf} of manure.

The objectives of this study were to (1) determine the potential of VisNIR-DRS to predict the HHV of solid cattle manure using proximate data and (2) determine the effect of accounting for estimated S and N in the samples on the prediction accuracy of the Vis-NIR-DRS models.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection and gravimetric analyses

We obtained 120 samples of solid cattle manure collected for a companion study [6] from beef cattle (*Bos taurus*) fed diets formulated with WDGS included at 0%, 15%, 30%, 45%, and 60% of dry matter (DM). The samples were sealed in identical plastic bags and preserved at -12 °C.

The samples were brought to room temperature and subsamples taken for gravimetric analyses of moisture and ash. The moisture content on a wet basis (% wb) was calculated for each treatment from three measurements of each subsample according to a procedure recommended for manure analysis [17]. The same subsamples were prepared according to ASTM Standard E1757-01 [18] for crude ash analysis by dry oxidation according to ASTM Standard E5865-11a [19]. Ash determination was conducted in an ashing furnace (Model F-A1730, Argo Thermodyne Co., Bangalore, India) with procedural enhancements as described in [2]. The ash content on a dry matter basis (% db) was calculated from three measurements of each subsample.

2.2. Calorimetric procedures

The HHV and HHV_{daf} values were calculated in the companion study [6] with and without HNO₃ and H₂SO₄ corrections based on the N and S fractions of the manure. An H₂SO₄ correction of 13.75 cal g⁻¹ (24.75 Btu lb⁻¹) for every 1.0% S was calculated based on the heat of formation of H₂SO₄ from SO₂. This is consistent with the correction of 14 cal g⁻¹ (25.2 Btu lb⁻¹) recommended by the instrument manufacturer.

A standard HNO₃ correction of 8 cal g⁻¹ was automatically applied by the bomb calorimeter to account for N₂ contained in the bomb atmosphere, but this did not account for N contained within the sample material. Unlike H₂SO₄ corrections, HNO₃ corrections for N in sample material vary depending on the type of matter and on the proportions of various molecules in which N is found in the sample [20–22]. We applied an additional HNO₃ correction of 4.93 cal g⁻¹ (8.87 Btu lb⁻¹) for every 1.0% N in the sample based on the heat of formation of HNO₃ from N₂. There is no standardized HNO₃ correction for manure samples, but this is a reasonable value based on those determined for cellulosic materials such as live-stock feeds and bovine fecal matter [17,18].

Both HNO₃ and H_2SO_4 corrections were based on bulk mean N and S concentrations measured from treatment-wide composite samples, not the unique N and S content of the individual samples. Although current ASTM, ISO, and other standardized methods differ in their treatment of determining acid corrections, all require the titration of bomb washings [10–12]. Schroeder [22] emphasized that although sample-specific acid corrections by titration

are most desirable, "average" corrections are preferred over no corrections. Titration data from bomb washings were not available in this study.

In the companion study [6], the HHV_{daf} values were calculated from the observed moisture, ash, and corrected HHV of each manure sample using the following equation:

$$HHV_{daf} = \frac{HHV}{(1-A)(1-M)}$$
(1)

in which HHV_{daf} is the dry, ash-free higher heating value (cal g⁻¹), HHV is the measured higher heating value corrected for N and S content (cal g⁻¹), *A* is the ash content (% db), and *M* is the moisture content (% wb).

2.3. Spectral analyses

A Field-Spec 3 spectrometer fitted with a hand-held probe (AgriSpec, ASD Inc., Boulder, CO) was used to measure reflectance of each manure sample in wavebands from 350 to 2500 nm with spectral resolutions of 3 nm at 700 nm and 10 nm at 1400 and 2100 nm. The probe featured a spot size of 10 mm and an internal halogen light source with a color temperature of 2901 ± 10 K. Reflectance was set to 100% with a Spectralon white reference panel placed inside a plastic bag identical to those containing the manure samples. The samples were scanned three times through their plastic bags, and the instrument calibration was verified after every twenty samples.

Prior to analysis by VisNIR-DRS, the samples had settled resulting in an accumulation of finer particles on the underside of the bags. When predicting moisture and ash content in previous studies, we determined that scans of unprocessed manure produced superior models than scans of dried or milled manure [2], and scans of the coarse, unsettled manure in bags strongly outperformed models built from scans of the fine, settled particles [3]. Therefore, we scanned the manure on the coarse side of each sample bag at three separate, non-overlapping locations.

2.4. Spectral data processing

The raw spectral data were processed using custom statistical computing code written in R [23] (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) following the procedures of Brown et al. [24] as described in Sakirkin et al. [25]. Spectral models based on the first derivative of the raw reflectance predominate in published literature; however, some researchers have reported better prediction accuracy with models based on the second derivative because it effectively removes the confounding effects of particle size [26,27]. The first derivative of the raw reflectance with respect to wavelength $(\partial r/\partial \lambda)$ was used in all models because we have found it to be consistently superior in predicting manure characteristics [2,3] when compared to the raw spectra and the second derivative of the raw spectra.

2.5. Model development

Partial least squares (PLS) regression models were developed in "The Unscrambler" software [28] to predict the HHV_{daf} of the samples. The models were built on mean-centered data using a segmented cross-validation PLS method and were validated with a test-set holdout. The segments for cross-validation were chosen randomly and comprised four percent of the calibration dataset. The Unscrambler uses a standard, non-linear, iterative PLS algorithm and can be allowed to determine automatically the number of factors to include in each PLS model by minimizing the residual variance of the calibration cross-validation. We permitted the

software to choose the optimal number of PLS factors, but we verified that the number was reasonable by inspecting the model performance statistics. If over-fitting or other errors occurred we set the number of PLS factors manually by choosing the optimal number based on the residual variances of the early components. The RMSD (root mean squared deviation), RPD (ratio of prediction to standard deviation), bias, and number of PLS factors were considered in the evaluation and comparison of model performance. Suitable RPD limits for soils are appropriate for use with manure and are suggested to be: <1.6, poor; 1.6–2.0 acceptable; and >2.0, excellent [29].

To investigate the spectral difference between dietary treatments, six different VisNIR-DRS models were created and used to predict HHV and HHV_{daf}. In the first model, eight-tenths of all samples were randomly selected as a calibration set (n = 96) and the remaining samples used as a validation set (n = 24). In the remaining five models, each dietary treatment was held out of the calibration set (n = 96) in turn and then used as a validation set (n = 24), which gave a conservative estimate of prediction accuracy. We chose eight-tenths of the samples, as opposed to an arbitrary two-thirds, in the first model to eliminate any effect resulting from differences in calibration and validation set sizes.

To investigate the spectral similarity among dietary treatments, convex hull biplots of the first two principal components of the first derivatives were created for each treatment using the R programming environment. Islam et al. [30] found that a convex hull biplot of the first two principal components based on spectral data provides a simple and rapid method for visually assessing the differences and similarities among groups of samples. The distribution of the samples on the plot, in terms of area and proximity, indicates the relative degree of variability and spectral similarity among the samples. These characterizations can be extended to the combined effects of physical and chemical properties, which are well predicted by UV, visible, and IR spectra. Principal component decomposition of the first derivative spectra and convex hull calculations were performed in R.

3. Results and discussion

The HHV of the samples ranged from 3303.9 to 3601.5 cal g⁻¹ (5947–6483 Btu lb⁻¹), and the HHV_{daf} ranged from 4742.7 to 4899.6 cal g⁻¹ (8537–8819 Btu·lb⁻¹). The fuel value of this manure was within the expected range for manure collected from pens with paved surfaces [25]. Descriptive statistics for the moisture (% wb) and ash (% db) content, the observed HHV uncorrected for N and S content, the observed HHV corrected for N and S content, and the HHV_{daf} calculated using Eq. (1) from the corrected HHV are presented in Table 3. Detailed results were reported in the companion study [6].

Convex hull biplots based on spectra of the samples (Fig. 1) confirmed that in general, the treatments are spectrally similar. The first and second principal components used in the biplots represented 57% and 82% of the spectral variation. Each treatment hull was largely coextensive with the others, indicating shared physical and chemical characteristics sensitive to detection by VisNIR-DRS. The hulls associated with the 45% and 60% WGDS samples had a larger area than the other rations indicating a greater degree of spectral variability. Conversely, the 60% WDGS hull contained the largest amount of non-intersecting area and featured a centroid with greater displacement relative to the origin than the other treatments, indicating unique spectral features which may result from differences in manure composition.

The software successfully chose the optimal number of PLS factors ranged from three to five for most models which. However, it failed to do so in two HHV_{daf} models. It chose double the number of



Fig. 1. Convex hull biplots of the first two principal components of the VisNIR spectra from manure samples, grouped by the inclusion level of WDGS (wet distillers grains plus solubles) included in the finishing cattle diets. The large symbols denote the weighted geometric centroid of each respective polygon.

PLS factors in the HHV_{daf} models based on 15% and 45% WDGS when compared to the other HHV and HHV_{daf} models. Further, the 15% and 45% WDGS models had much higher RMSD and lower RPD values when compared to others, and the software did not identify any significant wavebands for the 45% WDGS model indicating an error. We inspected the residual variances for the early principal components of these two models and chose five as a more reasonable number of PLS factors for both. We recreated the 15% and 45% WDGS models using five PLS factors which eliminated the significant waveband error and produced results consistent with those of the other HHV and HHV_{daf} models.

Historically, a dry, ash-free fuel value of 4722 cal g⁻¹ (8500 Btu lb⁻¹) has been accepted for use in Eq. (1) [1,31]. An RMSD value of 100 cal g^{-1} (180 Btu lb^{-1}) is approximately equivalent to an error of 2% of this value. This error is well below the 10% engineering factor of safety used in gasifier design and is acceptable in practical terms for use in industrial applications [32]. The VisNIR-DRS spectral models based on calorimetry data uncorrected for estimated S and N content predicted HHV within 1.7%. When the HNO₃ and H₂SO₄ corrections were applied, the model predicted HHV within 1.8%. The model based on all treatments with random samples withheld from calibration for validation predicted the HHV uncorrected for N and S with the following results: RMSD = 60.19 cal g^{-1} (108 Btu lb^{-1}), RPD = 2.29 (excellent), and bias = -15.29 cal g^{-1} (28 Btu lb^{-1}), using five PLS factors and identifying 129 important wavebands¹ (Table 1). Fig. 2 presents a plot of the regression coefficients over wavelength (nm) of this particular model. The number of important wavebands used in each of the six models ranged from 113 to 156, with 133 common important wavebands among three or more models. Wavebands common among three or more models were 360-380, 400-580, 600, 620, 680, 690, 770-980, 1020-1050, 118, 1210-1320, 1390, 1400, 1420-1510, 1540-1640, 1660-1710, 1730-1780, 1820-1870,

¹ Important wavelengths are determined by The Unscrambler software which identifies variables with the largest positive or smallest negative value. Variables with large factor loadings (coefficients) in early components have the most variation and explain the greatest proportion of the difference between samples.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

S.L.M. Preece et al. / Fuel xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

Table 1

Visible near-infrared spectral models predicting HHV uncorrected for N and S content and HHV corrected for N and S content based on manure samples cattle fed steam-flaked corn-based diets with increasing amounts of wet distillers grains plus solubles (WDGSs). In the first model, eight-tenths of all samples were selected as a calibration set (n = 96), and the remaining were used as a validation set (n = 24). In the remaining models, each ration was held out of the calibration set (n = 96) in turn and was then used as a validation set (n = 24).

Ration (% WDGS) Validation set	ALL Random	0 Ration	15 Ration	30 Ration	45 Ration	60 Ration		
HHV (nitric and sulfuric acid correction not applied)								
RMSD cal g ⁻¹ (Btu lb ⁻¹)	60.19 (108.3)	72.05 (129.7)	57.12 (102.8)	99.20 (178.6)	54.43 (98.0)	94.63 (170.3)		
RPD	2.29	1.73	1.49	0.60	1.71	1.22		
Bias cal g^{-1} (Btu lb^{-1})	-15.29 (-27.5)	27.50 (49.5)	-36.08 (64.9)	-85.58 (154.0)	-13.38 (24.1)	74.08 (133.3)		
Important wavebands (count)	129	168	155	153	161	157		
PLS factors	5	4	5	3	4	3		
HHV (nitric and sulfuric acid correction applied)								
RMSD cal g ⁻¹ (Btu lb ⁻¹)	62.14 (111.9)	70.07 (126.1)	56.32 (101.4)	59.32 (106.8)	54.94 (98.9)	75.51 (135.9)		
RPD	2.28	1.78	1.52	1.01	1.72	1.53		
Bias cal g^{-1} (Btu lb^{-1})	-14.88 (-26.8)	21.21 (38.2)	-33.08 (59.5)	-40.00 (72.0)	-6.04 (10.9)	53.38 (96.1)		
Important wavebands (count)	150	114	151	156	155	113		
PLS factors	5	5	5	5	4	4		



Fig. 2. Plot of the regression coefficients over wavelength (nm) of a VisNIR-DRS model predicting the HHV of manure. The model was based on the first derivative with respect to wavelength (pm^{-1}), and wavebands (n = 129) important to the model are indicated by circles on the plot.

1890–1910, 1950, 2010–2060, 2090, 2100, 2170–2200, 2230–2270, 2300, 2340, and 2370–2400 nm.

The HHV_{daf} based on the corrected HHV was predicted within 2.0%. Recall that the HHV_{daf} was derived from the proximate analysis, treatment-wide S and N values for HNO₃ and H₂SO₄ corrections, and a simple equation (Eq. (1)). The model based on all treatments with random samples withheld from calibration for validation predicted HHV_{daf} within 1.8% and produced an RMSD = 96.17 cal g⁻¹ (173 Btu lb⁻¹), RPD = 1.17 (acceptable), and



Fig. 3. Plot of the regression coefficients over wavelength (nm) of a VisNIR-DRS model predicting the HHV_{daf} of manure. The model was based on the first derivative with respect to wavelength (pm^{-1}), and wavebands (n = 29) important to the model are indicated by circles on the plot.

bias = -19.83 cal g⁻¹ (-37 Btu lb⁻¹), using five PLS factors and identifying 29 important wavebands (Table 2). Fig. 3 presents a plot of the regression coefficients over wavelength (nm) of this particular model. The remaining five models based on treatmentwise holdouts had lower RPD values and variable RMSD values ranging from 53.68 to 115.82 cal g⁻¹ (97–208 Btu lb⁻¹). The number of important wavebands used in each of the six models ranged from 10 to 136, with 20 common important wavebands among three or more

Table 2

Visible near-infrared spectral models predicting HHV_{daf} based on manure samples from cattle fed steam-flaked corn-based diets with increasing amounts of wet distillers grains plus solubles (WDGSs). In the first model, two-thirds of all samples were selected as a calibration set (n = 80) and the remaining one-third was used as a validation set (n = 40). In the remaining models, each ration was held out of the calibration set (n = 96) in turn and was then used as a validation set (n = 24).

HHV _{daf}						
Ration (% WDGS)	ALL	0	15	30	45	60
Validation set	Random	Ration	Ration	Ration	Ration	Ration
RMSD cal g ⁻¹ (Btu lb ⁻¹)	96.17 (173.1)	104.90 (188.8)	53.68 (96.6)	54.67 (98.4)	115.82 (208.5)	109.80 (197.6)
RPD	1.17	0.92	1.10	0.88	0.73	0.74
Bias cal g ⁻¹ (Btu lb ⁻¹)	-19.8 (-35.6)	-39.63 (71.3)	-0.21 (-0.4)	-0.92 (-1.7)	87.25 (157.1)	-82.07 (147.7)
Important wavebands (count)	29	136	26	37	133	33
PLS factors	5	4	5 ^a	5 ^a	5	5

^a The maximum number of PLS factors was set manually.

S.L.M. Preece et al. / Fuel xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

Table 3

Descriptive statistics for the moisture (% wb) and ash (% db) content, the observed HHV uncorrected for N and S content, the observed HHV corrected for N and S content, and the HHV_{daf} calculated using Eq. (1) from the corrected HHV of manure samples (n = 120) from cattle fed steam-flaked corn-based diets with increasing amounts (0%, 15%, 30%, 45%, and 60%) of wet distillers grains plus solubles (WDGSs).

Statistic	Moisture (% wb)	Ash (% db)	HHV uncorrected (cal g^{-1})	HHV corrected (cal g^{-1})	$\mathrm{HHV}_{\mathrm{daf}}\left(\mathrm{cal}\ \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$
Mean	9.35	21.05	3488.97	3463.15	4838.63
Minimum	5.92	18.14	3126.76	3095.29	4590.52
Maximum	20.25	22.71	3739.49	3723.05	5129.92
Standard deviation	2.77	1.04	134.90	137.65	91.73
Sample variance	7.66	1.09	18199.31	18946.57	8415.18

models were 830–920, 940–950, 1020–1030, 1340, 1400–1410, 1580, and 1870–1880 nm.

The reduction in model performance when predicting HHV_{daf} as compared to HHV indicates that a more robust equation accounting for one or more of C, H, S, O, and N content would improve the prediction accuracy when compared to Eq. (1). In the past, sophisticated equations that require the ultimate analysis, such as the Boie equation [32], have proven useful for estimating the HHV_{daf} of manure. However, these equations were not developed specifically for use with manure and were based on combustion characteristics of hydrocarbon fuels and other biomass fuels. The introduction of WDGS to beef cattle diets and its effects on the HHV_{daf} of manure may reduce the applicability of equations previously considered appropriate. Furthermore, the accepted HHV_{daf} of 4722 cal g⁻¹ (8500 Btu lb⁻¹) may be too low. Therefore, the development of an equation to predict the HHV_{daf} of cattle manure more precisely is planned for a future investigation.

4. Conclusions

The spectral models based on the HHV observed by bomb calorimetry predicted the HHV of feedlot manure within 1.7% with excellent reliability. For practical purposes, this is more than adequate for industrial applications where an error of 5% or more is tolerated. Acid corrections are not necessary in VisNIR-DRS models to obtain an HHV prediction accuracy or reliability acceptable for use in feedlot manure gasification. Applying estimated HNO₃ and H₂SO₄ acid corrections based on N and S content measured in bulk samples (i.e. not individual samples) of the manure marginally reduced the accuracy and reliability of the HHV spectral models. However, applying precise HNO₃ and H₂SO₄ acid corrections to individual samples may improve model performance. Sophisticated equations developed specifically for manure and based on the ultimate data may improve the spectral prediction of HHV_{daf} when compared to Eq. (1). The HHV_{daf} value and sophisticated equations currently accepted for estimating the HHV_{daf} of manure may be less appropriate when WDGS is incorporated in beef cattle diets.

References

- Mukhtar S, Capareda S. Manure to energy: understanding the processes, principles, and jargon. Texas AgriLife Extension Service, College Station. Bulletin: E-428; 2006.
- [2] Preece SL, Morgan CLS, Auvermann BW, Wilke K, Heflin K. Determination of ash content in solid cattle manure with visible near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. Trans ASABE 2009;52:609–14.
- [3] Sakirkin SLP, Morgan CLS, Auvermann BW. Effects of sample processing on ash content determination in solid cattle manure with visible/near-infrared spectroscopy. Trans ASABE 2010;53:421–8.
- [4] Fron MJ, Boling JA, Bush LP, Dawson KA. Sulfur and nitrogen metabolism in the bovine fed different forms of supplemental sulfur. J Anim Sci 1990;68:543–52.
- [5] Erickson GE, Klopfenstein TJ, Walters DT, Lesoing G. Nutrient balance of nitrogen, organic matter, phosphorus, and sulfur in the feedlot. Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports. University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln (NE); 1998. Paper No: 334.
- [6] Preece SL, Buttrey EK, Auvermann BW, MacDonald JC. Effect of wet distillers grains plus solubles on the fuel value of solid manure. Prof Anim Sci, in review.

- [7] National Research Council. Nutrient requirements of beef cattle, 7th revised ed. Washington (DC): National Academy Press; 1996.
- [8] Luebbe MK, Erickson GE, Klopfenstein TJ, Greenquist MA. Nutrient mass balance and performance of feedlot cattle fed corn wet distillers grains plus solubles. J Anim Sci 2012;90:296–306.
- [9] Buckner CD, Wilken MF, Benton JR, Vanness SJ, Bremer VR, Klopfenstein TJ, et al. Nutrient variability for distillers grains plus soluble and dry matter determination of ethanol by-products. Prof Anim Sci 2011;27:57–64.
- [10] Buttrey EK, Cole NA, Jenkins KH, McCollum FT III, Sakirkin SLP, Auvermann BW, et al. Effects of 20% corn wet distillers grains plus solubles in steam-flaked and dry-rolled corn-based finishing diets on heifer performance, carcass characteristics, and manure characteristics. J Anim Sci 2012. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.2527/jas2012-5198.
- [11] McBride KW, Greene LW, Cole NA, McCollum FT, Galyean ML. Nitrogen and phosphorus utilization in beef cattle fed three levels of dietary crude protein and three degradable intake protein/undegradable intake protein ratios. J Anim Sci 2003;81(Suppl):73 [Abstr].
- [12] Cole NA, Clark RN, Todd RW, Richardson CR, Gueye A, Greene LW, et al. Influence of dietary crude protein concentration and source on potential ammonia emissions from beef cattle manure. J Anim Sci 2005;83:722–31.
- [13] Archibeque SL, Miller DN, Freetly HC, Berry ED, Ferrall CL. The influence of oscillating dietary protein concentrations on finishing cattle. I. Feedlot performance and odorous compound production. J Anim Sci 2007;85: 1487–95.
- [14] Luebbe MK, Patterson JM, Jenkins KH, Buttrey EK, Davis TC, Clark BE, et al. Wet distillers grains plus solubles concentration in steam-flaked corn-based diets: effects on feedlot cattle performance, carcass characteristics, nutrient digestibility, and ruminal fermentation characteristics. J Anim Sci 2012;90: 1589–602.
- [15] Cole NA, MacDonald JC, Galyean J, Brown M. Interaction of grain co-products with grain processing: associative effects and management. In: Oklahoma state university cattle grain processing symposium. Oklahoma State University, Tulsa; 2006.
- [16] MacDonald JC. Use of distiller's grains byproducts in the Southern Plains. In: Proceedings of husker beef nutrition conference. University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Mead; 2009.
- [17] Hoskins B, Wolf A, Wolf N. Dry matter analysis. In: Peters J, Combs SM, Hoskins B, Farman J, Kovar JL, Watson ME, et al., editors. Recommended methods of manure analysis. University of Wisconsin Extension, Madison, Bulletin: A3769; 2003.
- [18] American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard practice for preparation of biomass for compositional analysis. Standard E1757-01. ASTM International, West Conshohocken (PA); 2007.
- [19] American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard test method for gross calorific value of coal and coke. Standard D5865-11a. ASTM International, West Conshohocken (PA); 2011.
- [20] Kersting K. A nitrogen correction for calorific values. Limnol Oceanogr 1972;17:343–4.
- [21] Fries JA. Investigations in the use of the bomb calorimeter. Bulletin 94. USDA Bureau of Animal Industry, Washington (DC); 1907.
- [22] Schroeder LA. Caloric equivalents of some plant and animal material. The importance of acid corrections and comparison of precision between the Gentry-Wiegert Micro and the Parr Semi-Micro bomb calorimeters. Oecologica 1977;28:261–7.
- [23] International Organization for Standardization. Solid mineral fuels determination of gross calorific value by the bomb calorimetric method, and calculation of net calorific value. Standard ISO 1928-2009. ISO, Geneva; 2009.
- [24] BSI Group. BS 1016-5 Methods for the analysis and testing of coal and coke, Part 5: gross calorific value of coal and coke. BSI, London (UK); 1977.
- [25] R Foundation for Statistical Computing. R, Ver. 2.15.1", Vienna, 2012.
- [26] Sakirkin SLP, Morgan CLS, MacDonald JC, Auvermann BW. Effect of diet composition on the determination of ash and moisture content in solid cattle manure using visible and near-infrared spectroscopy. Appl Spectrosc 2011;65:1056–61.
- [27] Aucott LS, Garthwaite PH. Transformations to reduce the effect of particle size in near-infrared spectra. Analyst 1988;133:1849–54.
- [28] The Unscrambler, Ver. 9.7. CAMO Software AS, Oslo (NO); 2007.
- [29] Dunn BW, Beecher HG, Batten GD, Ciavarella S. The potential of near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy for soil analysis – a case study from the Riverine Plain of south-eastern Australia. Aust J Exp Agric 2002;42:607–14.

6

ARTICLE IN PRESS

S.L.M. Preece et al. / Fuel xxx (2012) xxx-xxx

- [30] Islam K, McBratney A, Singh B. Rapid estimation of soil variability from the convex hull biplot area of topsoil ultra-violet, visible, and near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectra. Geoderma 2005;128:249–57.
- [31] Sweeten JMS. Unpublished data. Amarillo: Texas AgriLife Research; 2011.
- [32] Boie W. Derivation for new universal higher heating value formulae. Wissenschafteliche Zeitshchrift der Technischen Hochschule Dresden 1952/ 53; 22:687–94.