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Calculating break-even costs of production can help cow/calf producers make better management decisions for the current year or for the near future.

By definition, break-even cost is the total cost of production divided by the total pounds of calf produced, whether marketed or retained. Another way to describe break-even is that it is the minimum sale price needed to recover all cash costs in a given year. The total cost of
production for a cow/calf operation must include all costs associated with the cow/ calf enterprise.

To determine break-even, a producer must know or closely estimate three values:

- Annual costs (cash basis) of owning a cow. The value will vary from year to year and among different ranches. Use the value for your ranch and keep records of all costs to determine this value;
- Annual calf crop. In the following formulas, enter the value as a decimal number; for example: 90 percent $=0.9$. Calculate calf crop by dividing the number of calves sold and retained as replacements in a year by the number of females exposed for breeding; and
- Average weaning or market weight of calves.

Using these three values, multiply the calf crop times the average weaning or market weight of calves sold and retained, and divide that number into the annual cash cost per cow to determine the break-even cost per pound of calf produced. The formula for break-even:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { annual cash cost per cow } \\
\hline \text { calf crop } \times \text { average weaning or } \\
\text { market weight of calves } \\
\text { sold and retained }
\end{gathered}
$$

Producers who know the market prices can determine the potential income per pound of calf by sub-
tracting the break-even cost. Adjustments in this formula can answer three other important questions:

- What are the maximum allowable cash costs per cow if calf crop, average weaning (or market) weight and market price are known?
- What is the minimum calf crop needed if annual cash costs, average weaning (or market) weight and market price are known?
- What is the minimum market weight needed if calf crop, annual cash costs per cow and market price are known?
Caution: When trying to answer these questions, producers who don't know some of the values will need to make estimates. For example, producers who pregnancy test their cows can estimate their next calf crop fairly closely by adjusting their pregnancy rates down by 1 to 3 percent (accounting for embryonic death loss and death before marketing). Estimate the average weaning or market weights by weighing calves, calculating the weight per day of age, and then projecting to the expected day of sale (or weaning).

If it is not possible to weigh calves, estimate the projected market weight by using an average daily gain

[^0]for calves of 1.8 to 2.0 pounds per day. The problem with estimating market weight is that producers cannot predict variables such as weather, and hence available feed, which affects gain. Although estimating market prices is difficult, help is available from market specialists, order buyers and market reports. Obviously, dependable answers to the three questions above can be obtained only when close estimations (or actual values) of the variables in the formula are available.

## W hat are the maximum allow able annual cash costs per cow?

To answer this question, rearrangetheformula and multiply calf crop (as a decimal) by the average weaning (or market) weight of calves sold and retained; then multiply that number by the market price. The formula:
Calf crop x Average weaning or market weight of calves sold and retained $\times$ Market price $=$ Maximum allowable annual costs per cow

Example: Assumes $\$ 0.80$ per pound market, 450 pound weaning (or market) weight and a 90 percent (0.9) calf crop

Annual cash costs
per cow (maximum
allowed under
these conditions) $=\$ .80 \times 450 \times 0.9=\$ 324$ per cow
This formula obviously implies that high market prices afford a better chance at profit.

Less obvious is that when market prices are low, controlling costs can help increase the chances of profit. However, costs must be controlled in such a way that production is not sacrificed disproportionately. Sacrificing production is acceptable as long as the lost production's value is less than the reduction in cost. This can be accomplished by using practices known to have a moderate or high return rate, such as conducting annual pregnancy tests, vaccinating to control disease, providing adequate nutrition and using quality herd sires with genetics for growth.

## W hat minimum calf crop is needed?

To answer this question, rearrange the formula again. Multiply the market price times the average weaning or market weight of calves sold and retained, and divide that number into the annual cash cost per cow. The formula:

> Annual cash cost per cow Market price $\times$ Average
> weaning or market weight
> of calves sold and retained

Example: Assumes $\$ 250$ annual cash cost per cow, 450 pound weight and $\$ 0.80$ per pound.

Calf crop $=\frac{\$ 250}{\$ 0.80 \times 450}=0.694$, or 69 percent
This implies that even a marginal calf crop may beprofitableunder relatively high market prices, but lower market prices require a higher market weight, improved calf crop or lower annual production costs.

## W hat minimum weaning (or market) w eight is needed?

To figure the minimum weaning or market weight required to break even, multiply the market price by the calf crop, and divide that number into the annual cash cost per cow. The formula:

Annual cash cost per cow $=$ Minimum weaning or market Market price x calf crop $=$ weight to break even

Example: Assumes $\$ 250$ annual cash cost per cow, $\$ 0.80$ per pound market price and 90 percent (0.9) calf crop.

$$
\underset{\text { Average weaning }}{\text { (or market) weight }}=\frac{\$ 250}{\$ 0.9 \times 0.80}=347 \text { pounds }
$$

Practice using these formulas, entering different values for the variables. For instance, choose a particular annual cow cost and compare break-even between two different calf crops at the same market price. Then compare break-even between two different market weights at the same calf crop.

Tables 1 through 4 show various production scenarios at different market prices.

Remember: Heavier calves usually bring less per pound than lighter calves. For example, on a $\$ 50 /$ cwt market (see tables), not all calves are worth exactly $\$ 50 / c w t$. Consequently, knowing an accurate price for each weight category is essential to determining an accurate value not shown in the tables.


Producers should pay particular attention to the pasture and range quality so that grazing is adequate in quality and quantity.

Table 1 shows break-even costs for 12 production scenarios and four annual cash costs per cow. Table 2 shows the calf crop percent needed to break even at different annual cash costs per cow and average calf weights of 350,450 and 500 pounds. Table 3 lists the average calf market weight needed to break even at different annual cash costs per cow and calf crops of 70,80 and 90 percent. Table 4 shows the maximum affordable annual cash costs per cow at different market weights and calf crops of 70,80 and 90 percent.

Low production can be profitable only when annual cash costs per cow are low or market prices are high. A higher production level affords the best chance for profit even when annual cash costs are relatively high (more than $\$ 200$ per cow). Clearly, producers should work to ensure high production levels while keeping their annual cash costs as low as possible without unduly sacrificing calf crop and calf weights.

If a break-even analysis indi cates that the calf crop is too low, producers should learn why. Poor nutrition, inadequate disease control and bulls of low fertility are usually the culprits. If calf weights are too low, the reason may be poor-quality sires with minimal genetics for growth, or nutrition so limited that cows produce toolittle milk tosustain or ensure calf growth.

Pay particular attention to pastureand rangequality so that grazing is adequate in quality and quantity. Producers may need to adjust the stocking rate, particularly during drought. Test hay samples for quality, and provide feed supplements that supply what is absent in the hay. Remember that cows with calves need more nutrients than cows that have not yet calved.

Break-even analysis can be used as a starting point to determine possible shortcomings in production practices. For a more detailed analysis, use NCBA-IRM-SPA Cow Calf (SPA), a computer software package available through the Texas Agricultural Extension Service. It calculates not only break-even costs, but also a number of other variables much more useful in identifying problems missed by a simple break-even analysis.

The package can track a ranch's historic production costs and compare costs against regional and national averages. It also calculates a return on assets, which is needed by producers trying to compare returns of alternativeinvestments. For moreinformation on this program, call (409) 845-8012.
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If it is not possible to weigh calves, estimate the projected market weight by using a average daily gain for calves of 1.8 to 2.0 pounds per day.

Table 1. Break-even prices per pound of calf at 12 production levels and 4 annual cash costs per cow.

| Calf crop <br> percent/average <br> market weight | Pounds <br> of calf <br> per cow | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 100$ | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 300$ | $\$ 400$ |  |  |
| $90 / 600$ | 540 | $\$ 0.19$ | $\$ 0.37$ | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.74$ |  |
| $90 / 500$ | 450 | $\$ 0.22$ | $\$ 0.44$ | $\$ 0.66$ | $\$ 0.89$ |  |
| $90 / 400$ | 360 | $\$ 0.28$ | $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.83$ | $\$ 1.11$ |  |
| $90 / 300$ | 270 | $\$ 0.37$ | $\$ 0.74$ | $\$ 1.11$ | $\$ 1.48$ |  |
| $80 / 600$ | 480 | $\$ 0.21$ | $\$ 0.42$ | $\$ 0.63$ | $\$ 0.83$ |  |
| $80 / 500$ | 400 | $\$ 0.25$ | $\$ 0.50$ | $\$ 0.75$ | $\$ 1.00$ |  |
| $80 / 400$ | 320 | $\$ 0.31$ | $\$ 0.63$ | $\$ 0.94$ | $\$ 1.25$ |  |
| $80 / 300$ | 240 | $\$ 0.42$ | $\$ 0.83$ | $\$ 1.25$ | $\$ 1.67$ |  |
| $70 / 600$ | 420 | $\$ 0.24$ | $\$ 0.48$ | $\$ 0.71$ | $\$ 0.95$ |  |
| $70 / 500$ | 350 | $\$ 0.29$ | $\$ 0.57$ | $\$ 0.86$ | $\$ 1.14$ |  |
| $70 / 400$ | 280 | $\$ 0.36$ | $\$ 0.71$ | $\$ 1.07$ | $\$ 1.43$ |  |
| $70 / 300$ | 210 | $\$ 0.48$ | $\$ 0.95$ | $\$ 1.43$ | $\$ 1.90$ |  |

Table 2. Calf crop needed to break even at various annual cash costs per cow and average calf weights of 350,450 and 500 pounds.
On a \$50/cwt market

| Average calf weight | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 350 lbs . | impossible, unless costs are below \$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 450 lbs . | 80 | 89 | 98 | $>100$.............................................impossible ......................................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 lbs . | 72 | 80 | 88 | $96>100$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## On a $\$ 60 /$ cwt market

| Average calf weight | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 350 lbs . | 86 | 95 | >100 |  |  |  | im | sible |  |  |  |  |
| 450 lbs . | 67 | 74 | 82 | 89 | 97 | >100 ...........................impossible |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500 lbs . | 60 | 67 | 74 | 80 | 87 | 94 | 100 ...................... impossible |  |  |  |  |  |

On a \$70/cwt market

| Average calf weight | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 350 lbs . | 74 | 82 | 90 | 98 | >100 ....................................impossible . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 450 lbs . | 57 | 64 | 70 | 76 | 83 | 89 | 96 | >100 ................. impossible .................. |  |  |  |  |
| 500 lbs . | 52 | 57 | 63 | 69 | 74 | 80 | 86 | 92 | 97 | >100 |  |  |

On an \$80/cwt market

| Average calf weight | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 350 lbs . | 64 | 72 | 79 | 86 | 93 | 100 | impossible |  |  |  |  |  |
| 450 lbs . | 50 | 56 | 61 | 67 | 72 | 78 | 84 | 89 | 95 | 100 |  |  |
| 500 lbs . | 45 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 | 75 | 80 | 85 | 90 | 95 | 100 |

On a \$90/cwt market

| Average calf weight | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 350 lbs . | 57 | 64 | 70 | 76 | 83 | 89 | 95 | >100 .................. impossible |  |  |  |  |
| 450 lbs . | 45 | 50 | 55 | 59 | 64 | 69 | 74 | 79 | 84 | 89 | 94 | 99 |
| 500 lbs. | 40 | 45 | 49 | 54 | 58 | 63 | 67 | 71 | 76 | 80 | 85 | 89 |

Table 3. Average calf market weight needed to break even at various annual cash costs per cow and calf crop percentages of 70,80 and 90 .

| On a \$50/cwt market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calf crop percentage | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 70 | 514 | 571 | 628 | 685 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 450 | 500 | 550 | 600 | 650 | ...... |  | .... |  | .... | . |  |
| 90 | 400 | 445 | 489 | 533 | 578 | 622 |  |  |  |  | .... |  |
| On a \$60/cwt market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calf crop percentage | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 70 | 428 | 476 | 524 | 571 | 619 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 375 | 417 | 458 | 500 | 541 | 583 | 625 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 333 | 370 | 407 | 445 | 481 | 518 | 555 | 592 | 629 |  |  |  |
| On a \$70/cwt market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calf crop percentage | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 70 | 367 | 408 | 448 | 489 | 530 | 571 | 612 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 321 | 357 | 392 | 428 | 464 | 500 | 535 | 571 | 607 |  |  |  |
| 90 | 285 | 317 | 349 | 380 | 413 | 445 | 476 | 507 | 539 | 571 | $\ldots . . . . . .$. | $\ldots . .$. |
| On a \$80/cwt market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calf crop | Annual cash costs per cow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| percentage | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 70 | 321 | 357 | 392 | 428 | 464 | 500 | 536 | 571 | 607 |  |  |  |
| 80 | 281 | 313 | 343 | 375 | 407 | 438 | 469 | 500 | 531 | 563 | 594 | ...... |
| 90 | 250 | 278 | 306 | 333 | 361 | 389 | 417 | 445 | 472 | 500 | 528 | 556 |
| On a \$90/cwt market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calf crop |  |  |  |  |  | ual ca | costs | r cow |  |  |  |  |
| percentage | (\$)180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 340 | 360 | 380 | 400 |
| 70 | 286 | 317 | 349 | 381 | 413 | 445 | 476 | 508 | 540 | 571 | 603 | $\ldots$ |
| 80 | 250 | 278 | 306 | 333 | 361 | 389 | 417 | 445 | 472 | 500 | 528 | 556 |
| 90 | 222 | 247 | 271 | 296 | 321 | 345 | 370 | 395 | 420 | 445 | 469 | 494 |

Table 4. Maximum affordable annual cash costs per cow at various average market weights and calf crop percentages of 70,80 and 90.

On a \$50/cwt market

| Calf crop | Average calf market weight (lbs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| percentage | 350 | 375 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 475 | 500 | 525 | 550 |  |  |
| 70 | $(\$) 123$ | 131 | 140 | 149 | 158 | 167 | 175 | 184 | 193 |  |  |
| 80 | 140 | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 |  |  |
| 90 | 158 | 169 | 180 | 192 | 203 | 214 | 225 | 237 | 248 |  |  |

On a \$60/cwt market

| Calf crop | Average calf market weight (lbs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percentage | 350 | 375 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 475 | 500 | 525 | 550 |  |  |  |
| 70 | $(\$) 147$ | 158 | 168 | 179 | 189 | 200 | 210 | 221 | 231 |  |  |  |
| 80 | 168 | 180 | 192 | 204 | 216 | 228 | 240 | 252 | 264 |  |  |  |
| 90 | 189 | 203 | 216 | 230 | 243 | 257 | 270 | 284 | 297 |  |  |  |

On a \$70/cwt market

| Calf crop | Average calf market weight (lbs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percentage | 350 | 375 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 475 | 500 | 525 | 550 |  |
| 70 | $(\$) 172$ | 184 | 196 | 209 | 221 | 233 | 245 | 258 | 270 |  |
| 80 | 196 | 210 | 224 | 238 | 252 | 266 | 280 | 294 | 308 |  |
| 90 | 221 | 237 | 252 | 268 | 284 | 300 | 315 | 331 | 347 |  |

On a \$80/cwt market

| Calf crop | Average calf market weight (lbs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percentage | 350 | 375 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 475 | 500 | 525 | 550 |  |
| 70 | $(\$) 196$ | 210 | 224 | 238 | 252 | 266 | 280 | 294 | 308 |  |
| 80 | 224 | 240 | 256 | 272 | 288 | 304 | 320 | 336 | 352 |  |
| 90 | 252 | 270 | 288 | 306 | 324 | 342 | 360 | 378 | 396 |  |

On a \$90/cwt market

| Calf crop | Average calf market weight (lbs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percentage | 350 | 375 | 400 | 425 | 450 | 475 | 500 | 525 | 550 |  |  |
| 70 | $(\$) 221$ | 237 | 252 | 268 | 284 | 300 | 315 | 331 | 347 |  |  |
| 80 | 252 | 270 | 288 | 306 | 324 | 342 | 360 | 378 | 396 |  |  |
| 90 | 284 | 304 | 324 | 345 | 365 | 385 | 405 | 426 | 446 |  |  |
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[^0]:    * Professor, Extension Beef Cattle Specialist and Research Scientist; The Texas A\&M University System.

